

**APPENDIX B**

**CRITERIA FOR SELECTING THE SECTION/USABLE SEGMENT  
IN WHICH TO INITIATE CONSTRUCTION OF THE  
CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED TRAIN PROJECT**

<b>American Recovery and Reinvestment Act/FRA Requirements (Pass / Fail Criteria)</b>	<b>ARRA Central Valley Alternative 1</b>	<b>ARRA Central Valley Alternative 2</b>	<b>ARRA Central Valley Alternative 2A</b>	<b>ARRA Central Valley Alternative 3</b>
<i>a) Construction must be completed by fall of 2017<sup>(1)</sup></i>	<b>Pass</b>	<b>Pass</b>	<b>Pass</b>	<b>Pass</b>
<i>b) The project must have “Operational Independence”</i>	<b>Pass</b>	<b>Pass</b>	<b>Pass</b>	<b>Pass</b>

Note (1): This Pass/Fail evaluation addresses the ability to meet the Fall 2017 construction deadline based on today’s project status and knowledge, while Criterion III addresses the risks associated with meeting this date.

**Program Defined Selection Criteria:**

All sections must pass the Pass / Fail criteria above, to be considered for the Program Defined Selection Criteria evaluated in the following Table. Each of the 4 Criterion below (I through IV) carry an equal weighting of 10 points where:

- 0 equates to (a) not meeting the criteria, or (b) offering the lowest advantage or (c) resulting in the higher risk to the project
- 10 equates to (a) fully meeting the given criteria or (b) offering the highest advantage or (c) the lowest risk to the project

## CRITERIA FOR SELECTING THE SECTION/USABLE SEGMENT IN WHICH TO INITIATE CONSTRUCTION OF THE CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED TRAIN PROJECT

PROGRAM DEFINED SELECTION CRITERIA	ARRA Central Valley Alternative 1	ARRA Central Valley Alternative 2	ARRA Central Valley Alternative 2A	ARRA Central Valley Alternative 3
I. Logical expansion and evolution of the alignment to an operational HSR system. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Ensure the first investment forms the core of a state-wide 220 mph system that can be logically expanded and extended as additional funding becomes available</li> <li>b) Ensure the earliest startup of a high-speed rail service with the least funds required</li> <li>c) Consider connectivity of sections, availability of control centers and maintenance facilities, and phasing of future expansion</li> </ul>	10	7	7	5
II. Minimized construction risk. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Right of Way [ROW] availability and ability to reach agreement with stakeholders to acquire easements or operating rights</li> <li>b) Least construction complexity equating to lower cost volatility</li> <li>c) Least impacts to existing railroad facilities and operations</li> </ul>	8	10	9	8
	8	10	8	8
	8	6	7	10

- 0 equates to (a) not meeting the criteria, or (b) offering the lowest advantage or (c) resulting in the higher risk to the project
- 10 equates to (a) fully meeting the given criteria or (b) offering the highest advantage or (c) the lowest risk to the project

## CRITERIA FOR SELECTING THE SECTION/USABLE SEGMENT IN WHICH TO INITIATE CONSTRUCTION OF THE CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED TRAIN PROJECT

PROGRAM DEFINED SELECTION CRITERIA	ARRA Central Valley Alternative 1	ARRA Central Valley Alternative 2	ARRA Central Valley Alternative 2A	ARRA Central Valley Alternative 3
III. Minimized schedule risk, to meet the ARRA criteria of completion by the fall of 2017. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Probability of achieving ROD/NOD by fall of 2011</li> <li>b) Ease of construction, reduces probability of delay</li> <li>c) Future construction and equipment procurement sequencing</li> </ul>	7  9  N/A	8  5  N/A	8  10  N/A	10  9  N/A
IV. Builds the most useful HST infrastructure for the least cost. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Builds HST infrastructure that will not result in unreimbursed costs to the Authority</li> <li>b) Builds HST infrastructure that promotes current and future connections to other modes of transportation including public transit.</li> <li>c) Builds HST infrastructure that can be expanded to complete the entire CAHSR system in an efficient manner</li> <li>d) Builds the most useful segment of HST infrastructure that does not require additional federal or state funding</li> </ul>	10  10  10  10	10  6  10  10	10  6  10  10	10  8  5  5
<b>Total Aggregate Score</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>88</b>

- 0 equates to (a) not meeting the criteria, or (b) offering the lowest advantage or (c) resulting in the higher risk to the project
- 10 equates to (a) fully meeting the given criteria or (b) offering the highest advantage or (c) the lowest risk to the project